G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

191

Later references. (Muzrai)

File No. 354-16

Serial Nos. 1—7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1— 7

Part B for October 17

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Bala Anjaneya Swamy temple at Byrasettyhalli, Nelamangala

---

897 Dy 10.10.17 — To the Dy Commr Bangalore Dt

Fds Copy of the order passed in the matter

898. Informs the petitioner accordingly

of the above

M.V. Lakshmanarao

& VII

W D 8d—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

G. R. S. 11] 1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mysore

NOTES.

354-14
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Comr Bangalore Dt in the matter of the archakship of the Sri Bylu Anjaneyaswami temple at Byrasethhalli in the Nelamangala Taluk

From

Appellant Anantiah of Byrasethhalli

Petn No. - Dated 3-2-1915 Received 3-2-1915

Diary No. 1236	Enclos. /	Spare Copies.	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he is the hakdar Pujari of the temple and that the Deputy Commissioner without proper enquiry has issued orders to the Amildar that the archakship of the temple may be given to the opponent Gundiah alias Venkataramanaiah and prays that he should not be disturbed now until his appeal petition is finally disposed of by Government.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Appeal is in time

2 Two Re Stamp affixed to the Petn

3 order appealed against produced

Draf Submitted for approval

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000-8 8 14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 3544 of 191 17

Serial No.

forwarding the petition to D.C — for report & submission of the entire records connected with the case

9.2.1915
89
18/2

18/2
19/2

2 To,
The Dy/Commr of Bangalore Distt.
No. 1864 dt 23 2/15. - for the above pet[n] for report, with the connected records —

3 From, Archak Annuisale,
Petition Dt 29.3.1917
States that the respondent Sundaraja in whose favour the Dy. Commr has passed the order appealed against, and the Taluk authorities have been pressing upon him to hand over charge of Archakship after the 31st March (Srirama Navami day), and prays that the execution of the above order may be stayed pending disposal of the appeal.
Submd. for orders. It is a point for consideration whether a Stay order can be

M (94)
30.3.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—05 000—9 14

16 5

A. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 4 / 4 of 191 17

Serial No.

issued now when the full facts of the case are not before Govt: May the petn be sent to the Dyhr in contn ~~cased~~ for early report-1 (The ann Last reminder was issued 30/3/17 on 17/1/17)

There is no allegation of special circumstances requiring the issue of stay order. There is nothing to show that the order appealed against is prima facie questionable. No stay order can therefore be passed on the material now before us. Send the petn to Dy Commr in contn and request the early submn of report with the records

he
31.3.17

Submd with DS for appl
M(94) ann
3-4-1917 3/4/17

Appd
he
5/4/17

4. No: 2657 of 25-4-17, 2 dey Bangalow
DS the above in contn of SL 2 above
for early report
No 2660 of 25-4-17, 2 relative
dft cases to above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—05 000—2 2d 14

1914

W. 12 ° G I L—6° 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 354 of 1914.

Serial No

5. From, the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist.
Letter no. 1196 dy 10.5.17
Submits report with records called for in Serial 2.
The Dy. Commrs. report explains the facts of the case fully.
Maythe case will be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases ~~if so directed~~?
Submitted for orders.

M (SW) 17.5.17 — amm 17.5.17 — leg 22.5.17

Submits with notices to the 17th July appe

M (SW) 22.6.17 — 22/6/17

The Dy Commrs report has fully discussed the merits of the case.
(1st member)

leg 6.7.17

Mr Srinivasa Murthi for applt Respt present Case has been heard and orders reserved

22.9.17

22.9.17

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2,5 1/ of 191

Serial No.	
	Submtd. with D/O for appl. NW(94) 2-10-17 2/10/17 28 4/10/17 Secy) Advt Rec 4.10.17 28 4/10/17
6	No. 897 dy 10.10.17, Dy Bangalore, for a copy of the G.O passed in the case dismissing the appeal. No 898-9 dy 10/10/17, to the Collector of the district, informing the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

COURT FEE — TWO RUPEES

DIARY No. 1236

19/4/1915
SECTION
FILE No.
SERIAL No. 1

చుంచిక్కి
35
1

మైసూరు కానరీస్ మేర్ప్ పబ్లిక్ లిమిటెడ్ కంపెనీ వారు స్థాపించినది —

అప్పీల్ అభ్యర్థకారు
భీరప్ప తం. డి. నీలప్పగౌడ
కాలూకే కసబాపేట బళ్ళారి
భైరప్పి పద్మగుమ్మ యిరువ
బిలు ఆంజనేయ స్వామి యు
అభ్యర్థకు. అనుబంధ్యులు —

యిరువురు. అభ్యర్థకారు.
డిపోగాపరులు. ఇరువ
రాయప్పనవళ్ళి అప్పయ్యనపగ
నుంచెయ్యు - మరుపై పోకటి
రవళప్పయ్యు - - - - -

అప్పీల్ అభ్యర్థనకు కోళ్ళకొళ్ళపదేనందరి —

డిపో భైరప్పి పద్మగ్రామదల్లరువ బిలు ఆంజనేయు.
స్వామిదేవరపెట్టిన. సరకారదింద. యిప్పేర్పడిరి. దోరర అభ్యర్థనే
నాకరిగే. ఇనాంభూమిగుల కేలకన విభర్డిరి. కోళ్ళే
రనరు. అపీలు అభ్యర్థనపరంపరియూగి అనుభవిసి.
కొగండు - అభ్యర్థనా. నాకరియు పరంపరెయూగి. బందిరు
వదందరి -



సంతుసంతతిల్లా.
ఇబ్బరుకొండుకుక్కళ్ళిదారి.
ఒబ్బిళ్ళగేకుర్ర 2. జనడాండిక్కరు) - కాం॥

1 అపీలు అభ్యర్థనదొడ్డుకంచెవుగడనుమంప్పయ్య
కుర్చి అపీల్ అభ్యర్థనుపడా. విభక్తచేళ్ళియుల్లి -

ఇచ్చి-కొండు. చేవచ్చి వరి అభ్యర్థనాన్ని పరిశీలించి. ఇక-వు భద్రతా సిబ్బంది
వందురలక్ష్యం పూర్తి చేయడానికి ఆదేశించారు —

డిపో మనుషులంతా ఈళ్ళి- వందువరకు 1½ వరకు ఏర్పాటు
చేసుకున్నట్లు మృతదేహాన్ని - తీసివచ్చిన వాహనం వాళ్ళి. చూడాలని
వరి అభ్యర్థనా సాకారం అవుతుంది అభిప్రాయపడ్డారు. అందరూ అభ్యర్థన
నేపథ్యంలో ఉద్యోగులు.

మృతదేహాన్ని మనుషులంతా, అక్కడ ఉండి అందరు- అభిప్రాయం.
మనుషులంతా అనవసరంగా ఆలస్యం కావడం- అభ్యర్థనను
తిరస్కరించారు. అభ్యర్థనకు ఆమోదం తెలిపారు. అందరూ అభ్యర్థన
మనుషులంతా మృతదేహం. ఆదేశాలతో. అందరూ అభ్యర్థన
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
తాత్కాలికంగా ఆపివేయడం. ఆమోదం. కొందరు వెళ్ళి. అందరూ అభ్యర్థన
తిరస్కరించారు. అభ్యర్థనకు ఆమోదం తెలిపారు. అందరూ అభ్యర్థన
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం
మనుషులంతా, మృతదేహాన్ని తీసుకొని వెళ్ళడానికి సిద్ధంగా. తన్నుండి తీసుకొని మనుషులం

కాంట్రాక్ట్ 35/2

కాంట్రాక్టులు ప్రకారం తేదీ 19-12-1914 నాటికి పూర్తి చేయవలసిన పనులు పూర్తి చేయలేదు. అందువలన కాంట్రాక్టు ప్రకారం జరిమానా విధించడమైనది. ఈ విషయమై కాంట్రాక్టరు గారికి ఉత్తరాలు వ్రాసినా ఫలితం లేకపోయింది. ఇప్పుడు కాంట్రాక్టరు గారు పనులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు తెలియజేసినారు. కాబట్టి పనులు పరిశీలించి, పూర్తి అయినట్లు ధృవీకరణ పత్రం ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాను.

ఈ పనులు పూర్తి అయినట్లు ధృవీకరణ పత్రం ఇవ్వడానికి ముందు పనులు పరిశీలించి, పనులు పూర్తి అయినట్లు ధృవీకరణ పత్రం ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాను. ఈ పనులు పూర్తి అయినట్లు ధృవీకరణ పత్రం ఇవ్వడానికి ముందు పనులు పరిశీలించి, పనులు



To

The Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore Muzrai Department

Petitioner. Anantaya, Archik, Sri Byra-
Anjaneyaswami Temple Bayrasethihalli,
Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore District

The petitioner begs to state as follows:-

1. That he has appealed to the Govt. against the orders of Mr. H. Chandy, the former Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District, Conferring one Gundaya alias Venkataramana, the right to perform Puja in the said temple by rotation.

2. That the said Gundaya has no manner of right to the Pujastak of the said temple.

3. That the appeal was preferred on 3.3.1915 ie about two years ago.

4. That the petitioner was informed by an endorsement no 1865 Muz 354-14-2 dated 23-2-1915, that the records from

the Deputy Commissioner had been sent for.

5. That the petitioner has been kept in utter ignorance of what further action has taken place in the matter appealed from.

6. That the respondent Gundaya and the Taluk authorities have been putting pressure upon the petitioner to hand over charge of Archakship after Sravana Navami Day which is to come off on the 31st March 1917.

Under these circumstances the petitioner begs leave to remind that the record might be sent for very early and a stay order might be issued to the Taluk Authorities pending disposal of the Appeal.

H Srinivasa Murthi
Advocate for petitioner

Bangalore
29. 3. 1917

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

Petitioner.



Before the Court of His Highness the Mahārāja of Mysore
Revenue Department

1915 – 1916 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ A 47 ನಂಬರು.

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರರ್. ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಲ್ಲಾ ನೇಮಿ

Anantaya vs. Gundaya alias Venkatarangamma

ಮಾನ್ಯ ರಾ॥

ಎಚ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಮೂರ್ತಿ ಬಿ.ಎ., ಎಲ್.ಎಲ್. ಬಿ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟು ಮನವರಿಗೆ.

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧಾನವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿನೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈಜನು ನಗೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತನುಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತನುಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬ ಅಯಿರಾಗೆಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ 19 ಡಿ. 17 | ರುಜು ವಕಾಲತ್‌ದಾರ ಹೆಸರು ಬರಹ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷನು ರುಜುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No. 1864 Cus. D 23/2/1915
Dms 354-14-2

The accompanying Petition Registered
D No. 1236 dated 3rd February 1915

received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Anantiah of
Poyrasettihalli in the Nela-
mangala Taluk appealing
against the order of the Deputy
Commissioner, Bangalore Dist., in the matter
of the archakship of the
Sri Bylu Anjaneya Swami
temple at Poyrasettihalli

is forwarded, together with its enclosures,
to the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
for report
with the records connected
the case

By Order,

18/2
for Secretary to Govt.

BANGALORE,
.....191 .

P. T. O.

83
18/2

GPB—1,000—3-8-13

No 1865 Di 23-2-1915

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಇರುವಲ್ಲಿರುವ

..... ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಚ್ಚಂ

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ ನಿ 1236 3-2-1915

ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

..... ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು,

.....191 .

By Order,

1911

Secretary to Govt.

Diary No. 1367

6 17

Secy

354-14

GEN. & REV.

13 FEB 1917

Recd

Urgent

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 2659 Enc 2 Dy 25/4/17
Mus 354 14-4

The accompanying Petition Registered No 1606 dated 29.3.1917 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Archak Anau of Byrasettihalli, Nelamau Taluk, Complaining that the respondent Gundaiyya and Taluk authorities have been pressing upon him to hand over charge of the Archakship of Sri Bylu Anjaneya Swami Temple at Byra-Settihalli,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, with complement to the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist in Contn of This Office memo No. 186 Dy 23-2-1915 Mus 354 for the early submission of the report already called for on the subject

By Order,

Sd/ 4/4/17
Secretary.

Mus. Dept

BANGALORE, 3/4/17
191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11 15

No 2660 Dt 25.4.917

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ _____ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____ ನೀವು ಮಂಜೂರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 29.3.917

ನಮ್ಮ ಮಿನ್ನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

_____

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

_____

By Order,

Secretary

Asst Secretary Dept

3/4/17

From

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dist

11 MAY 1917

DIARY No. 1826

19 16-17
SECTION Mis 2
FILE No. 35-4-14
SERIAL 5

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt
of Mysore, Hungrai Dept.
Bangalore

Dated 9th May 17

Despatched 10th May 17

Received 11 MAY 1917

General No. C 1196

No.

Enclosure No. Hon. V. File.

Subject

Submits report on the petn
of Anantayya of Byra-
-settihalli, Nelamangla Tq
re Archakship of Sri Bylu
Anjeneyaswami Temple

GPB—5,76,000—6-4-12

C 1196
No Enquiry file.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner.

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, the 9th May 1917.

From

Muhammed Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq., B.A.,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,

in charge, Bangalore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzarai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Office Memo No. 1864-Muz.354-14 dated 23-2-1915 and No. 2659/Muz.354-14 dated 25th April 1917 ~~on~~ calling for a report on the petitions of Ananthaiya of Byrasetti Halli, Nelamangala Taluk about the Archakaship of Sri Bylu Anjeneyaswami Temple at Byrasettihalli, Nelamangala Taluk, I have the honour to state as follows:--

B.C.

The relationship of the parties is apparent from the geneological tree as given in the margin. Sanjeevaiya who was enjoying the Archakaship of the above Temple died leaving 2 sons.- Chennarayappa and -- Thimmappa, who were suceeded by Hanumantaiya and the ~~the~~ petitioner Ananthayya respectively.

Sanjeeviah

- Chennarayappa
  - Hanumanthaiya
    - Sanjeevamma (Husband Gundayya)
      - Two sons.
    - Venkatamma
- Thimmappa
  - Ananthayya (Appellant)

Ananthayya and his --- Cousin brother Hanumanthaiya were conducting the Temple worship by turns, each doing the work -- for a year and they divided the lands in their grandfather's enjoyment into two equal portions each having possession of his own

Dear Mr. Deputy Governor,

I am writing to you to request your support for my application for the post of Assistant Manager in the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank. I have been working in the Bank for the past 12 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years. I have been working in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years. I have been working in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years.

Yours faithfully,

Mohammed Abdul Majid Khan, B.A.,
Senior Assistant Cashier,
Imperial Bank of India,
Jodhpur.

To

The Deputy Governor,
Imperial Bank of India,
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to apply for the post of Assistant Manager in the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank. I have been working in the Bank for the past 12 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years. I have been working in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years.

Yours faithfully,

Mohammed Abdul Majid Khan, B.A.,
Senior Assistant Cashier,
Imperial Bank of India,
Jodhpur.

From

To

The Deputy Governor,
Imperial Bank of India,
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to apply for the post of Assistant Manager in the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank. I have been working in the Bank for the past 12 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years. I have been working in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years.

Yours faithfully,

Mohammed Abdul Majid Khan, B.A.,
Senior Assistant Cashier,
Imperial Bank of India,
Jodhpur.

From

To

The Deputy Governor,
Imperial Bank of India,
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to apply for the post of Assistant Manager in the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank. I have been working in the Bank for the past 12 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years. I have been working in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years and have had the opportunity to work in various capacities in different branches. I have also had the opportunity to work in the Agricultural Credit Department for the past 3 years.

Yours faithfully,

Mohammed Abdul Majid Khan, B.A.,
Senior Assistant Cashier,
Imperial Bank of India,
Jodhpur.

share. Hanuman-thaiya died leaving no male issue; but only two daughters - Sanjeevi and Venkati. Sanjeevi whowhas two male issues was during the life time of her father living with him in Byrasettihalli, while the other daughter Venkati has been married in the Dodballapur Taluk.

3. On the death of Hanumanthaiya, a dispute arose between --- Ananthayya and Gundappa, the former claiming also Hanumanthayya's share of the Archakaship as the sole surviving male descendent of the original holder Sanjeevayya, while the latter claimed it on behalf of his own eldest minor son (Hanumanthayya's ~~daughter's~~ dowhitra's When the case came for decision before the Deputy Commissioner, it was ascertained that the late Hanumanthayya and Ananthayya were not members of a joint family and that Hanumanthayya lived under the -- protection of his own son-in-law,- Gundappa and bequeathed all his property to the daughter referred to above ,- Ananthayya has also given a statement to the effect that the lands in dispute -- have been in the enjoyment of Gundappa and that the latter had been doing the Puja work for Hanumanthayya while-he was still alive, and that he (Ananthayya) had no obection to the transfer of the share of Archakaship to Gundappa, if the Government Order the same. He has also admitted that he declined to allow Gundappa to do the Puja, after Hanumanthappa's death, because he was asked to do so by the Dharmadarsies of the Temple who promissed to obtain the same permanently for him as the sole surviving male member of the family (Vide statements Flag A and B in the file).

4. After hearing all the parties concerned the Deputy Commissioner dismissed the above claim of Ananthayya and admitted that of Hanumanthayya's grandson. He accordingly ordered that Gundappa be allowed to do puja on behalf of his minor son in each alternate year, on the condition that he gives no room to further sub-divisions of the Hak so as to lead to future complications.

The complaint of the petitioner is against this order.

The vernacular file containing the statements of the several parties concerned, the entire correspondence in the matter together with the two petitions forwarded for reference is herewith submitted for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Somasundaram

for Senior Assistant Commissioner,
in charge, Bangalore District.

D.V.R.

(G) 2 AVS

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 22 of 1916-17

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Anantayya — Sundayya

Subject.

1) Appeal against the case no. 132 dated 5th December 1914 of the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt., directing that the Archak hise Varuma of Sri Bylu Anjaneya Swami Temple at Byra-Settihalli, Nelamangala Taluq in the name of Hanumanthiya (since deceased), be made out in the name of Hanumanthiya who is dowhitra and the respots Nos. Sm —

Decision The case was heard on the 17th July 1917, the p

GPB—500—12-6-18

were present in person and the apltt was represented through also by their his Advocates.

It is clear from his own statement before the Munsiff on 17th March 1914, that the appellant and his late Cousin Hanumanthiah were divided in interest, that even during the life time of the said Hanumanthiah, Sundayya the respondent was performing puja in the Temple in question and that the inam lands attached to the Temple Service were being enjoyed by Sanjeevamma, the wife of the respt and the daughter of Hanumanthayya. In these circumstances, the order of the Dy. Commr recognizing the claim of the minor son of respt. Sundayya and the dowhitra of the deceased karawaddar Hanumanthayya, to the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Share of the hak enjoyed by Hanumanthayya in to that of the apet is quite correct and just. the appellant has no cause for Cmplaint. the appeal is dismissed.

Memo no-897 Dt 10 October 917
Mus 357-14-6
Encl file

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist with the records received with his letter no. 1196 Dt 9.5.17.

B.O
Ha
4.10.17
Joint Muz. Secry.

DC
2/10/17

am
2/10/17

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. 899 Dt 10th October 1917

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]